#### Off-specular synchrotron Mössbauer and polarised neutron reflectometry in studying domain structure of antiferromagnetic multilayers

D.L. Nagy<sup>1</sup>, L. Bottyán<sup>1</sup>, B. Croonenborghs<sup>2</sup>, L. Deák<sup>1</sup>, B. Degroote<sup>2</sup>,
J. Dekoster<sup>2</sup>, H.J. Lauter<sup>3</sup>, V. Lauter-Pasyuk<sup>4, 5</sup>, O. Leupold<sup>6</sup>,
M. Major<sup>1,2</sup>, J. Meersschaut<sup>2</sup>, O. Nikonov<sup>3,4</sup>, A. Petrenko<sup>4</sup>, R. Rüffer<sup>6</sup>,
J. Swerts<sup>7</sup>, E. Szilágyi<sup>1</sup>, K. Temst<sup>7</sup>, A. Vantomme<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary
 <sup>2</sup> K.U. Leuven, Instituut voor Kern- en Stralingsfysica, Leuven, Belgium
 <sup>3</sup> Institut Laue–Langevin, Grenoble, France
 <sup>4</sup> Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
 <sup>5</sup> Technische Universität München, Garching, Germany
 <sup>6</sup> European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble, France
 <sup>7</sup> K.U. Leuven, Laboratorium voor Vaste-Stoffysica en Magnetisme, Leuven, Belgium

Magnetic Multilayers as Seen by Photons and Neutrons KFKI CMRC Workshop, Budapest, 6-9 December, 2001

### Outline

- Synchrotron Mössbauer reflectometry, polarised neutron reflectometry; specular and off-specular (diffuse) scattering
- Field-history dependence of the domain size in antiferromagnetically coupled multilayers
- Domain formation and ripening
- The bulk-spin-flop transition in coupled multilayers
- Spin-flop-induced domain coarsening
- Conclusions

## Neutron, X-ray and Mössbauer reflectometry



Relation between scattering amplitude and index of refraction:

$$n = 1 + \frac{2\pi N}{k^2} f$$

#### Neutron reflectometry: the scattering amplitudes

$$f_{n} = f_{n}^{nuc} + f_{n}^{mag}$$

$$isotope-specific$$

$$f_{n}^{mag} = \pm b$$
scattering length

+ for neutron spin parallel to magnetisation

- for neutron spin antiparallel to magnetisation

for neutron spin perpendicular to magnetisation: spin-flip scattering!

#### X-ray and Mössbauer reflectometry: the scattering amplitudes



#### Arrangement of an SMR experiment



 $\Theta/2\Theta$ -scan:  $q_z$ -scan

 $\omega$ -scan:  $q_x$ -scan

# Antiferromagnetic reflections in SMR and the direction of the layer magnetisation ( $\Theta/2\Theta$ -scan)





Abb. 3.5 Domänenkeimbildung in ferroragnetisch- und antiferromagnetisch gehappelten Zonen der einkristallinen Keilprober aus der Sättigung in schreter Richtung kommend. Für F-gekoppelte Bereiche findet man wie in Einfachschichten eine ausgeprägte Ripplebildung, die in Remanenz zu einem stark anisotropen Domänenmuster führt (@). Im AF-Gebiet dagegen beobachtet man ein isotropes fleckenartiges Keimbildungsmuster (b), da dort die bevorzugte Antiparallelstellung der Magnetisierung der beiden Schichten eine Kompensation der transversalen Komponente der Magnetisierung bewirkt (©). Das Fleckenmuster wird auch in den Übergangszonen gefunden (s. unten). (@ und (b) aus [3.9])

#### Domain growth in low field: Kerr microscopy

M. Rührig et al., Phys. Stat. Sol. (a) **125,** 635 (1991).



#### Domain growth on field reversal: magnetoresistance noise in a Co/Cu multilayer

H.T. Hardner et al., Appl. Phys. Lett 67, 1938 (1995).



FIG. 1. The dimensionless noise parameter  $\alpha(20 \text{ Hz})$  and resistivity as a function of field for sample 2 (Co/Cu 10 Å/21 Å×39 layers).

#### Domain growth: what is the mechanism?

- The driving force of domain coarsening is the small domain-wall energy.
- But: this is not enough to understand the diversity of the observed coarsening phenomena.

## Antiferromagnetic multilayer leaving magnetic saturation



#### Formation of two kinds of domains



#### **Domain formation on leaving saturation**







#### From saturation to remanence: the domain ripening

- The correlation length of the domains immediately after their formation is equal to the lateral structural correlation length of the multilayer (terrace length, ≤ 50 nm). Still, in remanence we observe µm-size domains. Why?
- The driving force of the spontaneous change of the domain size in decreasing field is the domain-wall energy. The sign of the size change depends on the scaling law of the domain-wall density:

inclusions ( $\propto \xi$ )  $\Rightarrow$  decreasing domain size chessboard ( $\propto 1/\xi$ )  $\Rightarrow$  increasing domain size

#### Domain ripening: the final state

- The correlation length ξ = 2.6 μm of the primary domains in remanence is determined by the domain-wall-energy-driven and coercivity-limited spontaneous growth (ripening). Ripening takes place when the applied magnetic field is decreased from the saturation region to zero.
- Critical domain size after ripening: with the domain-wall width  $k_{\rm ex} = \frac{A_{\rm ex}\pi^2}{l} + \frac{lK}{4}$   $l = (\pi/2)(A_{\rm ex}t_{\rm Fe}/J)^{1/2}$

for 2 Oe <  $H_c$  < 30 Oe: 0.6 µm <  $\xi_c$  < 8.4 µm

#### **Domain ripening: SMR**



#### MgO(001)[<sup>57</sup>Fe(26Å)/Cr(13Å)]<sub>20</sub> 2Θ @ AF reflection

Decreasing the field and having left the saturation region, the AF peak appears with increasing intensity. In  $H_{\text{ext}} = 0.3$  T the domain size is  $\xi \approx 500$  nm.

On decreasing the field to 0, the domain size increases to  $\xi = 2.6 \,\mu\text{m}.$ 

Domain ripening is an irreversible process: the domain size no longer changes in increasing or decreasing field.

#### Formation of very large domains (coarsening)

- After ripening, the domain size in remanence is expected to be always about 500 nm ... 5 μm.
- This is not the case! The domain size is a complicated function of the magnetic prehistory. Under favourable conditions, even much larger domains (up to mm?) may be formed. Why?

#### Bulk spin flop in an epitaxial MgO(001)[<sup>57</sup>Fe(26Å)/Cr(13Å)]<sub>20</sub> multilayer





#### Spin-flop induced domain coarsening (PNR) MgO(001)[<sup>57</sup>Fe(26Å)/Cr(13Å)]<sub>20</sub>



non-spin-flip scatteringspin-flip scattering $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{M}$  $\mathbf{p} \perp \mathbf{M}$ 

JINR Dubna SPN-1

#### Domain coarsening on spin flop

Coarsening on spin flop is an explosion-like 90-deg flop of the magnetization annihilating primary 180-deg walls. It is limited neither by an energy barrier nor by coercivity. Consequently, the correlation length of the secondary patch domains ξ may become comparable with the sample size.

### Domain coarsening during spin flop



### Domain coarsening on hard-direction field decreased to zero

M. Rührig et al., Phys. Stat. Sol. (a) **125,** 635 (1991).



#### Conclusions

- Off-specular synchrotron Mössbauer reflectometry and polarised neutron reflectometry are efficient tools of studying antiferromagnetic domains in coupled multilayers. The diffuse scattering width is inversly proportional to the correlation length.
- The native domains formed in AF-coupled multilayers upon leaving the saturation region with decreasing field are nanodomains the average size of which is determined by the structural correlation length (e.g., the terrace length).

#### Conclusions

- The domain-wall-energy-driven spontaneous growth of domains in magnetic field decreasing from saturation (ripening) is limited by domain-wall pinning (coercivity). Ripening results in microdomains.
- The spin flop results in domain coarsening ("millidomains" are formed).
- The condition for coarsening is the equilibrium of the Zeeman energy with the anisotropy energy. It is only this unstable state that permits the minute domain-wall energy to radically shape the domain structure.